

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/643,026	VANHAMEL ET AL.
	Examiner Christopher P. Bruenjes	Art Unit 1772

All Participants:

Status of Application: amendment after final

(1) Christopher P. Bruenjes (PTO). (3) _____.

(2) Vincent Gustafson (applicant's representative). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 August 2006

Time: 2:00 pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none of record

Claims discussed:

50-80, 82, 84, and 86

Prior art documents discussed:

none of record


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant provided permission to cancel claims 50-80, 82, 84, and 86 and to change the title of the invention as reflected in the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)